

CIRCLE OF THE EARTH INVESTIGATION

WITH DIRECT END TIMES IMPLICATIONS



*“Have ye not known? have ye not heard?
hath it not been told you from the beginning?
have ye not understood from
the foundations of the earth?
It is he that sitteth upon **the circle of the earth**,
and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers;
that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain,
and spreadeth them out **as a tent to dwell in...**”*

Isaiah 40:21-22

DANIEL VALLES



DISCLAIMER & ORIENTATION

* This research report is **not** intended to be a complete textbook on all things possibly related to Earth sciences. I am only going to address the **major red flags**, and some related material. I have looked at way more material than I am including in this report. This report was compiled solely to bring the matter to your attention, and it is assumed you can do further research on the particular minute details that may concern you.

Don't major on the minors at the expense of the majors!
If you can't even explain the majors, then don't fret about the minors!



Majors

- Substantial discrepancies in the mainstream science, observation, understanding, data, or story that the public is commonly led to believe...
- or, A proven misconception being evident in our understanding of some substantial truth...
- or, proofs of deliberate subterfuge which should arrest our attention that something is **seriously wrong**.
- Points that, if true, carry incredible, direct implications for us personally.

Minors

- Related fields of science that we want to understand, even though we do not fully understand the major context and construct which would affect all of the minors anyways.
- Related (or non-related) subjects that (by themselves) do nothing to decidedly explain the majors to one conclusion or another.
- Subjects that did not, and will not, have any incredible or direct implications for us personally - if left unresolved.
- Subjects that would be best to suspend our judgment on until we gain a further understanding of the more obvious majors.



About the Author:

Daniel Valles is the editor and founder of informedchristians.com, a website ministry devoted to discerning current events from a Christian perspective for over thirteen years. He was the curator at a creation science museum for three years, studying a range of science-related subjects and fields, writing signage, designing and building exhibits. He also volunteer curates a local history museum, also working with building exhibits and signage. Hobbies include historical Rev War medical reenacting, as well as medical missions research and engineering. He has helped a variety of creation ministries, and also stated his own. He has also produced a colonial-themed homeschool video curriculum on classical logic. He has always wanted to change the world; but, praise the Lord, he never thought he would contribute in this way. God's way is always best. Maranatha.

Food for Thought...

Ancient government-funded 'science' agencies and authorities convinced mankind that stars were gods.

THE STARGAZERS, CHALDEANS, ASTROLOGERS, SOOTHSAYERS,
MAGICIANS, AND THE MONTHLY PROGNOSTICATORS (Isa 47:13, Dan 2:27)



Image what Satan could do with the same agencies and scientists today...

 *"O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, **and oppositions of science falsely so called:***














Which some professing have erred concerning the faith."

- I Timothy 6:20-21



TIMELINE OF KEY EVENTS


Here are major milestones to consider and compare.
These are also referenced from elsewhere in this report.

- 1915  National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (America); precursor to NASA.
- 1928 First Antarctic Expedition, led by Richard E. Byrd.
- 1934 Second Antarctic Expedition, by Richard E. Byrd.
- 1939 Third Antarctic Expedition, by Richard E. Byrd.
- 1942  First rocket to reach space height (100km, 62 miles). A4 rocket, Wernher von Braun's team, Germany.
- 1945  The Nazis were in development of flying saucers (Rundflugzeug, Feuerball, Diskus, Haunebu, V7, etc.).
- 1945 Immediately after the war, the US started recruiting scientists from Nazi Germany.
- 1946 Operation Highjump, by Richard E. Byrd, to Antarctica. The flagship, USS Mount Olympus, and the aircraft carrier, USS Philippine Sea, there were thirteen US Navy support ships, six helicopters, six flying boats, two seaplane tenders and fifteen other aircraft. The total number of personnel involved was over 4,000.
- 1947 Roswell, New Mexico incident.
- 1949 Operation Paperclip - over 1,500 scientists, technicians, and engineers from Nazi Germany were brought to the United States for employment. The Soviets also extracted scientists during the same time (Operation Osoaviakhim).
- 1952  The Washington Flap - For two consecutive weekends in July, numerous people saw a swarm of saucers over Washington D.C. USAF jets were scrambled with orders to shoot them down, but could not get close enough.
- 1954  *Man in Space*, a Disney production, about space and future travel and technology. Collaborated with Dr. Wernher von Braun and Heinz Haber (both from Operation Paperclip). 40 million people watched.
- 1955 Operation Deep Freeze, with Richard E. Byrd. Marked the beginning of a permanent U.S. military presence in Antarctica.
- 1956 Now declassified, the USAF 'Project 1794' flying saucer was listed at "between Mach 3 & 4", operating at over 100,000ft.
- 1957  Sputnik launched
- 1958  NASA established, replacing National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.
- 1959 Antarctic Treaty System was signed by twelve countries, including the United States and the Soviet Union. It set the entire continent of Antarctica aside as a scientific preserve, and it bans military activity there.
- 1962  Mercury and Atlas programs
- 1969  U.S. moon program - Apollo
- 1977 Near his deathbed, Dr. Wernher von Braun warned his aide, Dr. Carol Rosin, that an "alien card" would be played.
- 1982  Space Shuttle
- 1990  Hubble Telescope
- 1998  International Space Station
- 2004  SpaceShipOne

If you think Sputnik and the 'Space Race' represented the cutting edge of technology between two nations, **you've been suckered**; it's a scripted deception by the ten top kingdoms of the earth (Rev 17:12-13) to change the heart and mind of the last generation, and prepare them for the lying wonders of the last days. Before Satan can convince mankind that aliens were their creators and developers, he has to convince them that alien worlds and outer space is reality. If he can do that, then anything goes.



WHAT DOES GOD'S WORD SAY?



“It is he that sitteth upon **the circle of the earth**, and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers; that stretcheth out the heavens **as a curtain**, and spreadeth them **out as a tent to dwell in.**”
- Isaiah 40:22

“...The heaven is my throne, and the earth is my footstool...”
- Isaiah 66:1

“... the earth; for it is his footstool...” - Matthew 5:35

Round, but not a Ball



- Just a few chapters before this (22:18), Isaiah refers to a 'ball'. Isaiah had the word **ball**, but did not use it to describe the earth - because it is round, but not a ball.
- Earth's atmosphere, is literally propped up over earth like a tent.
- A footstool is never spherical; it is flat-topped, with supports underneath it.

“He hath **compassed** the waters with bounds...”
- Job 9:6

There is no edge, but there is a round border.

“...the pillars of the earth are the LORD'S, and he hath **set the world upon them.**”
- II Samuel 2:8

“Which shaketh the earth out of her place, and the pillars thereof tremble.”
- Job 9:6

“...**the foundations of the world** were discovered, at the rebuking of the Lord, at the blast of the breath of his nostrils.”
- II Samuel 22:16 & Psalm 18:15

“Of old hast thou laid the foundation of the earth: and the heavens are the work of thy hands.”
- Psalm 102:25

“And God called the dry land Earth; and the gathering together of the waters called he Seas...” - Genesis 1:10

“He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing.”
- Job 26:7

Not Hanging?

The earth (dirt) is not hanging or suspended over nothingness (space); the Bible makes it clear that there are foundations and pillars supporting the earth. There isn't anything that the world is hanging from - it is set upon.

What is the Firmament?

- The Bible describes the firmament as a physical object that is **strong**, and like reflective glass; **mirror-like**.
- It is a dividing barrier between the lower water, and upper water.
- God's Throne is above the firmament.

“And above the firmament that was over their heads was the likeness of a throne, as the appearance of a sapphire stone: and upon the likeness of the throne was the likeness of a man above upon it.”
- Ezekiel 1:26

“Praise him, ye heavens of heavens, and ye waters that be above the heavens.”
- Psalm 148:4

“Hast thou with him spread out the sky, which is strong, and as a molten looking glass?” - Job 37:18


“And God said, Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters, and let it divide the waters from the waters. And God made the firmament, and divided the waters which were under the firmament from the waters which were above the firmament: and it was so. And God called the firmament Heaven.” - Genesis 1:6-8

“The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handywork.”
- Psalm 19:1



The Bible states that Earth has a Face - not a Surface.

The Bible always refers to the **"face of the earth"** (29 times!).
 In solid geometry, a face is a **flat (planar) surface** that forms part of the boundary of a solid object.



- Genesis 4:14
- Genesis 6:1
- Genesis 6:7
- Genesis 7:4
- Genesis 41:56
- Exodus 10:5
- Exodus 32:12
- Exodus 33:16

- Numbers 11:31
- Numbers 12:3
- Numbers 22:5
- Numbers 22:11
- Deuteronomy 6:15
- Deuteronomy 7:6
- II Samuel 20:15
- I Kings 13:34

- Psalm 104:30
- Isaiah 23:17
- Jeremiah 8:2
- Jeremiah 16:4
- Jeremiah 25:26
- Jeremiah 28:16
- Ezekiel 34:6
- Ezekiel 38:20

- Ezekiel 39:14
- Amos 5:8
- Amos 9:6
- Amos 9:8
- Acts 17:26
- +
- Isaiah 40:22
 ("the circle of the earth");
 a circle has a face; a ball does not.

A *sphere* is a closed surface - there is no boundary, and it is not flat or planar.

The word *surface* is not even mentioned in the Bible!
 God was very specific!



30xs


God tells us Earth is a flat, planar surface

Descriptions Fit a Flat Earth


- Several times Scripture gives accounts, visions, parables, etc. that only make sense in an enclosed worldview.



"Thus were the visions of mine head in my bed; I saw, and behold **a tree in the midst of the earth, and the height thereof was great. The tree grew, and was strong, and the height thereof reached unto heaven, and the sight thereof to the end of all the earth.**"
 - Daniel 4:10-11



"Behold, he cometh with clouds; and **every eye shall see him...**"
 - Revelation 1:7



"And **the heaven departed as a scroll when it is rolled together; and every mountain and island were moved out of their places. And the kings of the earth, and the great men, and the rich men, and the chief captains, and the mighty men, and every bondman, and every free man, hid themselves in the dens and in the rocks of the mountains; and said to the mountains and rocks, Fall on us, and hide us from the face of him that sitteth on the throne, and from the wrath of the Lamb.**"
 - Revelation 6:14-16

Does the Earth move through Space?



- "Fear before him, all the earth: the world also shall be stable, that it be not moved." - I Chronicles 16:30
- "...the world also is established, that it cannot be moved."
 - Psalm 93:1
- "...the world also shall be established that it shall not be moved..." - Psalm 96:10
- "Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever." - Psalm 104:5



* Note that there is a difference between being shaken, earthquakes, fountains of the great deep being broken up, etc. vs. the whole package deal (world) moving from one spot to another or spinning.

What are the Four Corners of the Earth?

- The Bible refers several times to the four corners of the earth - because there are four corners.
- This is not referring to the cardinal points on a compass (North, etc.), because East and West have no end (Psalm 103:12).
- **The Hebrew word *corner* refers to literal edges and extremities, as well as the idea of quartering (just like a small house has four (quarter) corners); it is the quarter, from the edge.**
- Remember, spheres have no ends and no edges.
- God is telling us that His commands extend to the literal edge of the four quarters that the face of earth has been divided into (where these four divisions are is unknown).



A house has 4 corners, and 4 quarters that extend to the edges.



- "...gather together the dispersed of Judah from the four corners of the earth." - Isaiah 11:12
- "...I saw four angels standing on the four corners of the earth, holding the four winds of the earth..." - Revelation 7:1
- "For he looketh to the ends of the earth..." - Job 28:24
- "...his lightning unto the ends of the earth." - Job 37:3
- "...take hold of the ends of the earth..." - Job 38:13
- "Bring my sons from far away and my daughters from the ends of the earth..." - Isaiah 43:6
- "...the nations at the four corners of the earth, and gather them for war..." - Revelation 20:8

Joshua's Long Day


- Note that the Bible records the account directly opposite of what a round-earth concept would suppose.
- Joshua asks for the Sun to stand still; the Bible records the Sun stood still.
- Joshua asks for the Moon to stand still; the Bible records the Moon stood still.
- There is zero mention of the Earth moving or stopping at all.
- The Bible details that the Sun stood still in the midst of heaven (the sky) - the firmament.
- If Earth stopped spinning, everything (including the dirt) would be thrown off by the angular momentum and inertia.
- **If the Earth was round (and moving) it would have made zero difference in the length of the day if the Earth did not stop, too; the Earth would have continued to rotate through the supposed day cycle, even with the Sun and Moon stopped.**



- "Then spake Joshua to the LORD in the day when the LORD delivered up the Amorites before the children of Israel, and he said in the sight of Israel, **Sun, stand thou still upon Gibeon; and thou, Moon, in the valley of Ajalon. And the sun stood still, and the moon stayed, until the people had avenged themselves upon their enemies. Is not this written in the book of Jasher? So the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hasted not to go down about a whole day.**" - Joshua 10:12-13




What nonexistent object was a round Earth supposedly orbiting for 3 days until the Sun (and its gravitational pull) was created on the 4th day?




Hint: Earth does not rotate around the Sun or anything else; the Sun was placed within Earth's atmosphere.



THERE IS NO EDGE TO EARTH



- Antarctica is classified as a continent, with a rocky plateau underneath all of the ice.
- This type of map projection is called an azimuthal equidistant projection; it is basically if you took a globe and squashed it flat.
- This map projection is the exact same projection as what is **used on the UN logo and flag** - except they leave off Antarctica - an entire continent? Why?
- On the UN logo and flag, it can be inferred that the lines or olive leaves represent the encircling ring of ice.



“He hath compassed the waters with bounds, until the day and night come to an end.”

- Job 26:10

There is No Edge, but there is a Border

- The Bible makes it clear that a border keeps the waters in; this border (bounds) was compassed - **drawn as a circle with a compass**; He isn't describing the seashore.
- The extreme temperature and conditions in the Antarctica make it very undesirable for people to want to go there.
- The depth of the ice edge to the firmament wall is unknown (at least publicly), but the major governments know; it is probably quite some distance inland, and not observable from the water's edge.
- Just judging from the ice edge to the poles, there is probably at least 800 miles inland from the edge, before you get to the firmament.

“...when he set a compass upon the face of the depth.” - Proverbs 8:27



Off limits! Stay out!

- The 1959 Antarctic Treaty made the entire Antarctica politically neutral.
- The treaty was signed by twelve countries including the Soviet Union (and later Russia), the United Kingdom, Argentina, Chile, Australia, and the United States.
- So, at the start of the Cold War (before Greenpeace was even thought of) - they decided to set it all aside for environmental protection?! Weird...



Yearly meeting of the treaty partners. Notice the uncapped pyramid.



Does the Antarctic Treaty flag design remind you of anything? The flat-earth UN Flag?



Tourists?

- 95% of tours are done through the International Association of Antarctica Tour Operators; you can't just pull up in a boat and walk around.
- Expedition tourism is currently subject to Antarctic Treaty and Environmental Protocol provisions.
- There are only three very restricted arrival places for tourists
- The brochures tell you straight up that many areas are restricted.

[<http://iaato.org/frequently-asked-questions/#how-does-one-actually-get-to-antarctica>]



NIMROD EXPEDITION



1907-1909 (a.k.a British Antarctic Expedition)

- The first of three expeditions to the Antarctic led by Ernest Shackleton.
- Got just 97.5 nautical miles (180.6 km; 112.2 mi) from the South Pole.
- The expedition's ship was named Nimrod.
- Nimrod was the Biblical name of the man who built the city of Babel (Gen 10:10).
- "And they said, Go to, let us build us a city and a tower, **whose top may reach unto heaven**; and let us make us a name, lest we be scattered abroad upon **the face of the whole earth**. ...Therefore is the name of it called Babel; because the LORD did there confound the language of all the earth: and from thence did the LORD scatter them abroad upon **the face of all the earth**." - Gen 11:4,9



OPERATION HIGHJUMP



1946-1947 (a.k.a The United States Navy Antarctic Developments Program)

- A United States Navy operation organized by Rear Admiral Richard E. Byrd Jr., USN (Ret), Officer in Charge, Task Force 68.
- Task Force 68 included 4,700 men, 13 ships, and 33 aircraft. Operation Highjump's primary mission was to establish the Antarctic research base Little America IV.
- The expeditions command ship was the USS Mount Olympus.



OPERATION FISHBOWL



Testing the Firmament?


- Operation Fishbowl was a series of high altitude nuclear tests in 1962 that were carried out by the United States.
- It is interesting that shortly after the final major Antarctica mission, and around the time that the Antarctic Treaty System was signed by several countries, that two of the main signatories start blasting the atmosphere with nuclear explosions - especially with a project entitled Fishbowl?!

Some Nuclear Tests...

- High-altitude nuclear tests (Orange, Teak, Yucca) were also performed in 1958.
- Orange detonated at 28 miles, with a 3.8 megaton yield.
- Teak detonated at 50 miles, with a 3.8 megaton yield.
- Yucca detonated at 16 miles, with a 1.7 kiloton yield.
- Starfish Prime detonated at an altitude of 250 miles, with 1.4 megaton yield.
- Checkmate detonated at 91 miles, with a 10 kiloton yield.
- Bluegill Triple Prime detonated at 30 miles with a 400 kiloton yield.
- Kingfish detonated at 60 miles with a 400 kiloton yield.
- Tightrape detonated at 13 miles, with a 10 kiloton yield.
- The Soviets also conducted 5 high-altitude nuclear tests in 1961-1962.



* The public does not currently know the extent or height of the firmament, but it is suspected by many to be around 62 miles (100 km) in average height. If it is a dome then it will vary in height, depending on the shape.




AETHER

There are entire fields of science kept back from public discussion because knowledge of them would disrupt the power hold and deceptions in place. Knowledge is power.



This section is not to answer questions, but to point you in a direction of research, and also make you aware that events in our world can be explained by phenomena dismissed by mainstream academia. Many of the world's greatest inventors (Maxwell, Faraday, Thomson, Crookes, Lord Kelvin, Mendeleev, C.P. Steinmetz, Oliver Heaviside, Eric P. Dollard) knew of the existence of the aether, and incorporated its principles. I will take the proven, demonstrated hands-on science and knowledge of Tesla over the theoretical postulations of Einstein any day. There is much I do not know, in regards to the mechanics behind what we see in our world, but I do know that mankind's perspective on these has been deliberately channeled through certain gatekeepers (Einstein, cough, cough) to maintain a limited view.



Some quotes by Nikola Tesla, the greatest inventor...

"Of all the forms of nature's immeasurable, all-pervading energy, which ever and ever change and move, like a soul animates an innate universe, electricity and magnetism are perhaps the most fascinating. . . We know that electricity acts like an incompressible fluid; that there must be a constant quantity of it in nature; that it can neither be produced or destroyed. . . and that electricity and ether phenomena are identical."

– Nikola Tesla at the American Institute of Electrical Engineers (AIEE), May 1891, Columbia College, New York City

"The day science begins to study non-physical phenomena [Ether science], it will make more progress in one century than in all the previous centuries of its existence."

"There is no energy in matter other than that received from its environment (as meant Ether fields)."

"The theory of relativity is a mass of error and deceptive ideas violently opposed to the teachings of great men of science of the past and even to the common sense."

"Today's scientists have substituted mathematics for experiments, and they wander off through equation after equation, and eventually build a structure which has no relation to reality."



Time magazine put Tesla on its cover in celebrating his 75th birthday (July 10, 1931). It referred to Tesla's work toward harnessing an "entirely new and unsuspected [energy] source."

"Only the existence of a field of force can account for the motions of the bodies as observed, and its assumption dispenses with space curvature. All literature on this subject is futile and destined to oblivion. **So are all attempts to explain the workings of the universe without recognizing the existence of the ether and the indispensable function it plays in the phenomena.**"

Until you wrap your mind around the fact that there are entire fields of science that the public doesn't even know exists, you will try to understand your world entirely within the confines of the constructs that were placed upon you.



EARTH'S ROTATION?

The Coriolis Effect




Effect on Bullets?

If the Coriolis Effect really had an effect on them, then shots fired North or South should never hit their targets - they'd be off by several hundred meters (depending on your latitude) due to the supposed Earth's rotation moving the target. For long range shooting (10,000+ meters), there is not a correction for (what is thought to be) Coriolis, but is truly for Azimuth (North direction). Anything less than 2,000 meters will not have any azimuth corrections [Army ballistic tables].



The Earth Does Not Rotate Under You

I have not seen any evidence that the Earth moves out from under any free-moving object (bullet, ball, plane, skydiver, etc.) - any perceived Coriolis effect is caused by something else acting on the object itself (wind, inertia, parachute, centrifugal, etc.).



Hurricanes?

Why do hurricanes rotate in different directions depending on hemisphere? In the flat earth layout, the circuit of the sun (heat and influence) contributes to the vortex rotation [See Sun section]. The vortex action of aether also contributes.



Skydivers can change their direction and land from any angle without detecting any earth movement; yet, if the earth is supposedly moving east at 1600 km/h (depending on latitude), how would this even possible?

As soon as he opens his parachute (and has a dramatic drop in speed), he should observe a noticeable shift in the ground if Earth was moving under him - but he doesn't. A skydiver doesn't take into account Earth's rotation when planning a jump; nor does he consider the direction of the plane in relation to the supposed direction of Earth's spin.




...because Earth is not rotating.



Record High Jumps?


When Felix Baumgartner did his Red Bull Stratojump from 128,100 feet (39 kilometers), he should have landed a few hundred miles off course if the Earth was rotating under him while he fell - but he didn't because Earth isn't moving.

Earth's Speed at various Latitudes:



Spin Dry Cycle?

With just the supposed rotational speeds present at the equator (1,650 km/hr), why hasn't Earth's water collected at the equator in a massive bulge and spun off a long time ago?



It doesn't take much speed or weight for centrifugal force to overcome gravity.



Take a wet tennis ball, toss it up in the air, and watch how water it thrown off of it just with that gentle toss and spin.

...tell me again how a ball spinning at 1,025 mph won't throw water off...




If the average person were moving 1,000 mph in a circular motion, shouldn't all the stars be a blur - especially when trying to zoom in on them with a telescope? Ever try taking a picture of something while in a fast moving car?




THE SUN

The Sun was created by God (Genesis 1:16)



VS.



Globe Earth

- NASA: the Sun is 186,000,000 miles away from Earth.
- NASA: the Sun, and our solar system, is wandering through space.

Enclosed Earth

- The Sun rotates on a varying, offset circuit, similar to the Moon.
- The Sun was created and placed "in the firmament" - inside the atmosphere. He specified this detail **three times** (Genesis 1:14-17).



If the Earth revolved around the Sun, and the Earth is 'tilted', and the tilt creates 'seasons', then every season change the entire ice caps should be constantly changing location, too; not only is that not observed, but if it did happen, it would cause incredible wobble in Earth's rotation due to the constantly shifting weight; the axis would constantly be moving.




**Concept illustration only*

- The Bible states that the Sun has a repeating circuit that it follows - it is not wandering through space.

"...the sun, which is as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race. His going forth is from the end of the heaven, and his circuit unto the ends of it: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof." - Psalm 19:4-6

- The Sun's repeating circuit takes it throughout the entire heaven; it is this loop of a loop that heats everything in creation.
- There are different circuits for the various seasons; the Sun's daily path spiral transitions from one seasonal circuit to the other.



- If you take a map of ocean temperatures, and switch the map projection to azimuthal equidistant, you will see that the warmest waters match this seasonal path. Also note, that if you compare this to a spherical map, the warmest waters do not line up with the supposed tilt of earth to the sun.



If the Earth were spherical, and the Sun millions of miles away, **you would never be able to see the Sun's long reflection on the water at sunset.**

The supposed rate of dropoff, even though small, would not be enough surface, because it would be angling the sunlight away from you.

Long sunset reflections only happen because the ocean is flat!

[10 miles in distance yielding 66 feet deviation in elevation from a flat surface and 20 miles yielding 264 feet.]

A reflective surface **must be perfectly flat overall to avoid distorting the image reflected**; a slight curve would create the same effect as a mirror house at a carnival, or a store's security mirror.



The Solar Analemma

It is a trace of the annual movement of the Sun on the sky - a diagram of change of seasons and an equation of time.


In astronomy, when the apparent position of the Sun in the sky is recorded (e.g. photographed) at a fixed location on the Earth's surface every day or every few days throughout the year, always at the same time of day, a long, slender, figure-eight pattern of points is produced.

- The southern loop is much wider (5Xs larger) than the northern loop.
- Sometimes, in illustrations, the loop will be drawn with identical-sized loops - this is a deception.
- **A round earth, with identical halves, should not have smaller and larger loops;** the large and small loops are sometimes explained as a result of the oval path of the Earth around the Sun - even though they will admit that the oval path is so minimal that it's almost a circle.
- A flat, enclosed earth is much wider past (outward from) the equator - this is why the southern loop is much larger - the circuit diameter is larger. **A flat earth explains the solar analemma shape** - but not a round earth.

The Sun's circuit varies between 23.5°S (Tropic of Capricorn) and 23.5°N (Tropic of Cancer).




- Winter (Northern Hemisphere)
- Spring / Fall
- Winter (Northern Hemisphere)



Eratosthenes' Experiment

2,000 years ago, a mathematician measured angles of shadows cast from obelisks, and wells, in two cities at noon, on the Summer Solstice. The difference between the two angles was 7.2° instead of being vertical.

- He **assumed** this must be due to the earth's curvature.
- He **assumed** the Sun's rays were parallel (**they are not**), and he **assumed** that the Sun was millions of miles away.
- With these three assumptions, via triangulation, he came up with a rough estimate for what Earth's diameter would be if it were round (24,662 miles) - which is close to the modern value of 24,900 miles diameter.
- **However, you can plug in different values for a smaller, close Sun, and a flat earth - and the calculation still works!** Mathematicians will readily agree that this calculation works for round earth or flat earth figures.
- With a flat earth and a closer Sun, traveling on a circuit with limited latitude variation, reasonably consistent results are still obtained.
- Just based on this single triangulation, it suggests a distance to the Sun of only 3000 miles, with the sun's diameter of only 32 miles.
- **Using a Sextant, you also arrive very close to these same flat earth values!** 38 miles (~60km), and at an altitude of 3,100 miles (~5,000km).
- The round earth assumptions when doing this calculation are **flawed from the start because of the false assumption that the Sun's light is parallel** (distant Sun).





Crepuscular Rays Proof? No & Yes

- Rays that we see spreading out from the sun are referred to as crepuscular rays. Re-converging rays seen on the opposite side of the sunset (behind you when you look at crepuscular rays; rare) are called anti-crepuscular.
- Can these light rays demonstrate that the sun is not millions (and millions) of miles away? **NO** - the light rays that **angle toward you will be affected by your visual perspective** over the distance (whether the light is parallel or not). Look at a picture of a city, the roads **coming toward you over distance** will give the photo perspective.
- **But - YES, they can.** The downward rays will have virtually no perspective **relative to your viewing point**. (There will always be some minute perspective, though).



Even a child can look at how some Sun rays angle **downward** (little perspective factor) in a triangular pattern, clearly showing that the light source is not millions and millions of miles away. If the sun was far, far away, there would be some minute perspective, but it would be very slight - nowhere near the spread regularly seen in the downward lines.



Here is an image over the Indian Ocean that NASA tries to use as proof of a very, very distance sun, that the sun rays really are parallel, and crepuscular rays are only an illusion of a closer sun. However, this is not concrete evidence for anything, since you can duplicate this same effect even with a relatively close lightsource (streetlight through fence). If you want proof of a distant sun, show me how a very, very distant lightsource can create extreme angling when perspective is not a factor - you can't!

Downward rays should be near parallel if...

If the light source was millions and millions of miles away, the rays **going downward** (but not really toward you) should all be straight and virtually parallel because there is no perspective toward the viewer; but they aren't because the Sun isn't far away - it is within the firmament; its light rays are not parallel.



Tall parallel elements (such as a skyline, radio towers, or spotlights) - at the same relative distance - are always parallel. We should expect supposedly parallel Sun rays to be parallel - but they aren't.



★ ★ ★ THE STARS

❓ What does the Bible say about the Stars?



“...the stars in their courses...”
- Judges 5:20

“He [God] telleth the number of the stars;
he calleth them all by their names.”
- Psalm 147:4

“Thou [Lucifer] art the anointed cherub that covereth;
and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God;
thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire.”
- Psalm 8:3-4

“Is not God in the height of heaven?
and behold the height of the stars, how high they are!
And thou sayest, How doth God know?
can he judge through the dark cloud?
Thick clouds are a covering to him, that he seeth not;
and he walketh in the circuit of heaven.” - Job 22:12-14

“Which commandeth the sun, and it riseth not; and sealeth up the stars.
Which alone spreadeth out the heavens, and treadeth upon the waves of the sea.
Which maketh Arcturus, Orion, and Pleiades, and the chambers of the south.” - Job 9:7-9

“When I consider thy heavens, the work of thy fingers, the moon and the stars, which thou hast ordained;
what is man, that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visitest him?” - Psalm 8:3-4



Round Earth Discrepancies

Here are a few observations that call into question the round Earth concept, in relation to the stars. There are many more questions that one may have in regard to the stars; but for this report, they are a minor issue, but do reveal flaws in the round Earth concept.



- Ursa Major, very close to Polaris, can be seen from 90 degrees North latitude (the North Pole) all the way down to 30 degrees South latitude.
- The constellation Vulpecula can be seen from 90 degrees North latitude, all the way to 55 degrees South latitude.
- Taurus, Pisces and Leo can be seen from 90 degrees North all the way to 65 degrees South.
- Aquarius and Libra can be seen from 65 degrees North to 90 degrees South.
- The constellation Virgo is visible from 80 degrees North down to 80 degrees South, and Orion can be seen from 85 degrees North all the way to 75 degrees South latitude.
- Sigma Octantis, the south ‘pole star’, and the Southern Cross, a southern circumpolar constellation, should all be visible at the same time from every longitude on the same latitude, just like the northern pole star and the northern circumpolar constellations - but they are not.
- All of these should be impossible on a round Earth.

FYI, the Earth currently has an axial tilt of about 23.4° (Astronomical Almanac 2010, p. B52). 23.4° off of 90° gives you 66.6°



At the speed and distance that the round Earth is supposedly going, the relative position and directions of the stars should visibly change over time, no matter how small the angle of parallax may be; however, this is not conclusively observed in connection to Earth’s movement. ‘Airey’s failure’ test actually supported non-movement.

⚠️ The strongest proofs of Earth’s shape will come by examining the Earth - not secondary, separate mechanisms.



*"...wandering stars, to whom is reserved the blackness of darkness for ever.
And Enoch also, the seventh from Adam, prophesied of these..."* - Jude 1:13-14



*Book of Enoch Aramaic fragment
found with the Dead Sea Scrolls*

*"The displayed fragment describes the heavenly revolt of the fallen angels, and their descent to earth to cohabit with the daughters of men and to reveal secret knowledge to mankind, a story hinted at in Gen. 6:1-4."
[<http://www.deadseascrolls.org.il>]*

The Book of Enoch

- This book was not included in the canon of the Hebrew Bible, but it is quoted by, and referenced by, the Bible like several other non-canonical, historical books. These are considered of historical value, but the Bible is the standard for doctrine. [The Book of Jasher - Joshua 10:13, II Sam 1:18; book of wars - Numbers 21:14; book of the chronicles of the kings - I Kings 14:19+; the book of Gad and the book of Nathan - I Chron 29:29; book of Shemaiah and book of Iddo (genealogies) - II Chron 12:15; book of Jehu - II Chron 20:34]
- Note that there are several books that go by the title of Book of Enoch. **Only** the one referred to as **1 Enoch** (or the Ethiopian Book of Enoch) is the true one.
- Jesus, Himself, referenced the book of Enoch to the Sadducees, confirming its validity (Matt 22:30, Enoch 15:6-7) when talking about the angels in heaven. This was a book they would have been familiar with, and Jesus even chewed them out for *"not knowing the scriptures..."* (vs 29).
- The Book of Enoch goes into great, very minute detail of the heavenly "luminaries" - including the Sun, Moon, and stars (chapters 72-82).
- Enoch tells us plainly that the stars and wandering stars (planets) are a form of angelic beings - living stones of fire.
- He tells us that seven of them disobeyed God, and left their prescribed courses; these are the wandering stars - for this, they are punished (Jude 1:13, 1 Enoch 18:12-16; 20:4).



"...I saw the ends of the earth whereon the heaven rests, and the portals of the heaven open. And I saw how the stars of heaven come forth, and I counted the portals out of which they proceed, and wrote down all their outlets, of each individual star by itself, according to their number and their names, their courses and their positions, and their times and their months, as Uriel the holy angel who was with me showed me. He showed all things to me and wrote them down for me: also their names he wrote for me, and their laws and their companies." - 1 Enoch 33:2-4



"And beyond that abyss I saw a place which had no firmament of the heaven above, and no firmly founded earth beneath it: there was no water upon it, and no birds, but it was a waste and horrible place. I saw there seven stars like great burning mountains, and to me, when I inquired regarding them, the angel said: This place is the end of heaven and earth: this has become a prison for the stars and the host of heaven. And the stars which roll over the fire are they which have transgressed the commandment of the Lord in the beginning of their rising, because they did not come forth at their appointed times. And He was wroth with them, and bound them till the time when their guilt should be consummated (even) for ten thousand years." - 1 Enoch 18:12



Luminaries

- The Bible describes the stars as stones of fire.
- The Book of 1 Enoch refers to the stars (and Sun and Moon) collectively as luminaries.
- In Revelation 1:20; 2:1; 3:1, stars are also specifically used to represent the angels (messengers / pastors) of the seven churches.
- Enoch describes the stars as intelligent living creatures.
- All of the star beings have names and particular set courses they must follow.



*"And they that be wise shall shine as the brightness of the firmament;
and they that turn many to righteousness as the stars for ever and ever."*

- Daniel 12:3



THE MOON

? What does the Bible say about the Moon?

“...the work of thy fingers, the moon and the stars...” - Psalm 8:3



The Moon is its own light source

- “And God made **two** great lights ...**the lesser light to rule the night...**” - Genesis 1:16
- “...**the moon shall not give her light...**” - Matthew 24:29
- “...**the moon shall not give her light...**” - Mark 13:24
- “...**and the moon shall not cause her light to shine.**” - Isaiah 13:10
- “...**the moon shall not give her light.**” - Ezekiel 32:7
- “...**the LORD, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night...**” - Jeremiah 31:35



In the Bible, and even throughout antiquity, the Sun (Sol) is referred to in the masculine [Isaiah 13:10], and the Moon (Luna) is referred to in the feminine. They are not the same. She does not show his light.



Their light is obviously different



Sunlight

Color Temp: 5,800K

Visually warm.

Ranges from warm white to yellow.

Physically warm.




Moonlight

Color Temp: 4,000K

Visually pale, cold.

Silver, pale, white.

Physically cold.



SUN



Supposedly, the reason why the Sun is seen as yellow is because of our atmosphere. As sunlight passes through the atmosphere, the blue component of its light is absorbed and scattered more than the red component. **So why isn't light 'bouncing' from the Moon the same color when it comes through the same atmosphere?** Truth: because 1) they are both inside the atmosphere, and 2) the Moon has it's own unique light.



The Sun and the Moon are both *inside* the Firmament

“And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth.” - Genesis 1:17



VS.



Globe Earth

- The moon rotates a long ways away from Earth (233,000 miles roughly), at about 5.14° inclination to the ecliptic.
- Even though it is three days away by Apollo spacecraft, you can see it very clearly - even through Earth's atmosphere without distortion.
- Because of it's orbital distance, it only has the **apparent** same size as the Sun.
- The moon is mainly responsible for the tides (other factors affect tide besides just the Moon).
- Just ignore that NASA's 'Earthrise' photograph (taken when mankind walked on the moon) shows 'Earth' from an angle that is more than 5° inclination.



Enclosed Earth

- The Moon rotates on a varying circuit, just like the Sun.
- The Moon was created and placed "in the firmament" - inside the atmosphere. He specified this detail **three times** (Genesis 1:14,15, & 17).
- The Moon appears clear as a bell, **sharp**, and is easy to focus on - because it is **inside** our atmosphere, and is much **smaller** than told.
- It looks like the same size as the Sun, because **it is** the same size as the Sun.
- It has the same tidal effect, because it is smaller, but closer (along with the Sun's similar effect as well). (Other factors still apply).



"...his light [the Sun] is sevenfold brighter than that of the moon; but as regards size they are both equal." - 1 Enoch 72:36



"...I saw another law dealing with the smaller luminary, which is named the Moon. And her circumference is like the circumference of the heaven, and her chariot in which she rides is driven by the wind, and light is given to her in [definite] measure. And her rising and setting change every month: and her days are like the days of the sun, and when her light is uniform [full] it amounts to the seventh part of the light of the sun." - 1 Enoch 73:1-3



Uniform Illumination

- Notice (in all Full Moon pictures) that the Moon is evenly and fully light equally, over the entire surface; the light is uniform. **This is not possible with a sphere, from a single light source.** You should have a bright, completely overblown spot on the area closest to the light, with a noticeable fade around the perimeter, due to the angling of the surfaces.
- The detail on the curve closest to the light **should be** blown out by the light strong enough to equally light the sphere.
- The fact that it is uniformly lit - **with zero loss of detail** - strongly suggests **interior illumination**.



If the Moon was lit by the Sun, then a Full Moon would look something like this, even with surface diffusion.

Interior-Illuminated Moon Art and Light Examples


The Moon is Earth's Nightlight





Food for Thought: Lunar Eclipses?

- Lunar eclipses only happen at Full Moon - when it has full, uniform light.
- Therefore, seeing that the Moon is fully self-illuminated, one should ask the question **how it is possible to cast a shadow on a lit lightbulb...**
- One should also ask the question that if a handful of red flags has already been brought up about the Moon (and other celestial objects), the publicly-touted mechanism behind lunar eclipses may be much different than the public is led to believe.
- With just a quick internet search, you will find over fifty various recorded instances where 'lunar eclipses' occurred, **while the Sun, Earth, and Moon were not lined up.** These anomalies have been noticed since the ancient time of Pliny.
- For the purpose of this research paper, and with apparent subterfuge in the subject, we do not present a hypothesis - we just bring the red flags to your attention that things are not as they appear.



Accurate, Ancient Astronomers

- Keep in mind that the ability to accurately predict lunar and solar eclipses is not a result or proof of a round earth or a flat earth. Astronomers have been accurately predicting these celestial events for thousands of years before heliocentric, ball-earth theories.
- In the first century A.D., Ptolemy accurately predicted eclipses for 600 years - **from a flat, stationary Earth perspective** - with superb accuracy.
- These calculations come from observation, records, and cycles.
- The celestial movements and events were predicted with precision even by those who held flat earth perspectives; these calculations and tables are not proof of the earth's shape, but of the independent celestial events.



Earth-Moon-Earth Radio Bounces?

- The proposition is often made that radio signals bounced off of the Moon can be used as proof that the Moon is very far away.
- Yes, signals are bouncing; but, proof must be verified, and in this proposition are many potential variables, with the possibility for unknown factors as well. True scientific testing would require observation and verification that the signals are 1) indeed even reaching the intended bounce target, 2) environmental factors in that unique environment are accounted for, 3) time to target accuracy confirmed, and then 4) calibrate that data with the bounce information; **these proper research steps are not resolved.**
- But, NASA says... - the credibility of that witness is sketchy at best. They are addressed later in this report.
- Due to the fact that this proposition rests solely on unproven assumptions, it would be prudent to suspend judgment on this proposition until further research can show a more conclusive determination.



Pathetic Reflector

A sphere is a very poor reflector of light, because it has neither a concave nor flat surface! To reflect, a surface must have an "angle of incidence". Even if the Moon was shiny like a mirror, it would be a poor reflector. What shape do photographers or filmmakers use to reflect and bounce light? - flat reflectors! On a round object, you will just have shiny hotspots, and very little reflected light.



FLAT LAND ON A ROUND EARTH?!

How can there be immense, perfectly level plains on a spherical object that should exhibit curvature over even mild distances?

Photo credit: Martin St-Amant - Wikipedia - CC-BY-SA-3.0




Salar de Uyuni, Bolivia

- The world's largest salt flat at 10,582 square kilometers (**4,086 sq mi**)!
- **100 times larger** than the (also flat) famous Bonneville Salt Flats in Utah, USA
- A stable salt surface which is smoothed and leveled by seasonal flooding .
- A few surface variations in elevation, but they are due to material density variations - only millimeters in height.
- It is **the world's largest natural mirror**, created when a thin film of water gathers on a vast plain of salt deposits.
- Even NASA acknowledges how flat it is, claiming to use the flat, mirror surface for calibrating satellite altimeters.
- According to GPS, **the entire variation over the entire 4,086 sq mi area is less than 1 meter (3ft 3 in).**
- **This is impossible on a round planet with a 25,000 mile circumference.**
- If we were on a round planet, the curvature variation should be a **6 foot variation just after 3 miles!**



- The region has been nicknamed "heaven on earth" because of the mirror-like reflection of the clouds.
- Remember, **these stunning reflections would not be visible on an even slightly curved mirror.**



When people say you can see the curvature of the earth in a particular photo, hold up a ruler. Even in high-altitude (normal lens) photos, the horizon is always flat.

Why doesn't Earth curve if it's a planet?

- Balloons sent up by various student groups always show a flat, non-curved horizon - even at extremely high altitudes of 108,267 feet (33,000 meters)! This should be impossible on a round planet!

[10 miles in distance yielding 66 feet deviation in elevation from a flat surface and 20 miles yielding 264 feet.]

- Beware of high-altitude footage which uses fish-eye lenses to distort the horizon to make the Earth appear spherical. You can often detect the extreme distortion by watching the effect prior to them looking at the horizon - it will distort and spherize all views.
- FYI: All cameras will have some *very slight* curvature due to the barrel distortion. To best accurately assess curvature from a photograph, the horizon must be placed precisely in the center of the image (the optical axis). Fish-eye lenses (like the ones used in the Red Bull Stratojump) though, have very obvious distortion.



To avoid circular reasoning, 'mirages' cannot be used to prove there is no curvature to the Earth; but that, instead, to expose how science can be twisted to 'prove' a position that does not even exist. There is science present in these situations, but the conclusions and applications are wrong. You cannot use this to prove a curved Earth unless you could also prove simultaneously that the physical objects were indeed in a separate place, much lower. In this research paper, we highlight many red flags that show that the Earth is not curved or spherical, so we can automatically discount that assumption here.



Marseille, France: In the background is Canigou Mountain (Pyrenees Range) - approximately 150 miles (250 km) away - normally too far distant to be observed from Marseille. This photo is listed as a superior mirage (and there is refraction involved), but it is not an apparent image of a mountain way below the curvature - it is merely showing an object that is behind the apparent horizon. Notre Dame de la Garde is at the lower right center of the photograph.



Joshua Nowicki

Here is a picture of the Chicago skyline taken **almost 60 miles away** from Grand Mere State Park in Stevensville. Under normal conditions, even when extremely clear, this is not visible, due to the horizon (not the curvature of the earth) and atmospheric refraction. While refraction is still involved, the city skyline is not deep below Earth's supposed curvature - it is just behind the apparent horizon.



The Overseas Highway is a 127.5-mile (205.2 km) highway carrying U.S. Route 1 (US 1) through the Florida Keys. You can see the road for many miles - which should be impossible on a round earth, due to the curvature dropoff.

? Why can't we shine a laser for hundreds of miles?

- The atmosphere's density and refractive index varies considerably depending on the temperature and pressure. This makes the air refract and diffuse light to varying extents.
- We are not in a total vacuum.


? Haven't lasers been bounced off of the Moon?

- The experiments have been done by a few major observatories, with very specific, very expensive laser and telescope setups.
- "At the Moon's surface, the beam is about 6.5 kilometers wide and scientists liken the task of aiming the beam to using a rifle to hit a moving dime 3 kilometers away." [wikipedia: Lunar Laser Ranging experiment]
- These were largely done in conjunction with the supposed Apollo and Soviet Lunokhod missions leaving retroreflector arrays. At the end of this report, you can draw your own conclusions regarding those test's validity.




**“Judge not according to the appearance,
but judge righteous judgment.”**

- Jesus Christ, to His disciples. John 7:24



Key Perspective

- Dictates that parallel lines and planes angle to the eye position of the observer. Where the **visually upward angled ground** trajectory intersects with your eye plane is where your horizon will form.
- Objects beyond the horizon **will be blocked from view by the visually apparent horizon**, starting with the lower portions.
- Objects traveling at a consistent height can **appear** to visually rise or lower - or **even visually vanish** over the horizon.
- Ships, planes, etc. don't disappear over the horizon because the earth is curved; they are just going beyond **your** visual range.




- As you move your perspective point (travel, climb, fly, etc.), you will be able to see things that may have been obscured by your previous horizon. This is true even if you were already standing on a clear, flat plain.
- Traveling to new areas will literally expand your horizons, and you will see many new things (landscapes, constellations, etc.).
- A horizon is not caused by either a flat earth or a round earth - it is a visual effect.
- **Perspective does not show the true shape or form** - it only gives us an essence of orientation in a 3D environment.

Key Visibility


- Human eyes have **limited** visibility.
- Binoculars magnify (and **increase the range of**) what our eyes can see.
- Telescopes increase the range of what our eyes can see even **further**.
- High-end, or military grade, teleobjectives (telephoto lenses) can see even **further than civilian telescopes, with no perspective interference**.
- **You can push back the horizon, given the proper equipment.**



US Army Signal Corps, 1963.
Made to see objects 30 miles away.



Human Eyes



Binoculars




Telescope



Military teleobjectives



It is possible to see miles farther than what would be impossible on a round planet.




Round Earth Curvature Dropoff

| Distance | Drop |
|-----------|----------------|
| 1 mile | 8 inches |
| 2 miles | 32 inches |
| 3 miles | 6 feet |
| 4 miles | 10 feet |
| 5 miles | 16 feet |
| 6 miles | 24 feet |
| 7 miles | 32 feet |
| 8 miles | 42 feet |
| 9 miles | 54 feet |
| 10 miles | 66 feet |
| 20 miles | 255 feet |
| 30 miles | 600 feet |
| 40 miles | 1066 feet |
| 50 miles | 1666 feet |
| 60 miles | 2400 feet |
| 70 miles | 3255 feet |
| 80 miles | 4266 feet |
| 90 miles | 5400 (1 mile+) |
| 100 miles | 6666 feet |

RED FLAG If you can see tall objects beyond the height and distance possible, that should be your clue that the Earth is not a sphere...

RED FLAG Shouldn't planes, maintaining altitude, appear to be nose-diving into the horizon at the rate of dropoff?



Physical Dropoff

- If Earth was spherical, then objects X distance away from you should have a predictable X amount of vertical drop.
- Objects of a certain height should be physically impossible to see after a certain distance - regardless of visual equipment; the Earth itself would create physical horizon.

RED FLAG Very tall objects should visually angle away and lean with distance - but they don't; cityscapes always stay straight up and down.



RED FLAG It should be impossible to see objects at 30 miles. Maybe they know something you don't...



Too Early?

Many ships disappear 'over the horizon' after only 2 miles. Either we are on a really, really small globe, or it's just a visual effect called the horizon. Just pick up your binoculars, and you'll be able to see it again...



RED FLAG If Earth was round, there would be only a short distance we could see - regardless of equipment.

I Atmospheric Interference Factors

The following are big obstacles to clarity of vision, and can affect our perception and focus:

- Density of the atmosphere (air density)
- Humidity levels
- Fog / Haze
- Clouds
- Dust / Pollen / Smoke
- Pollution
- Light pollution
- Shadows
- Physical objects (waves, trees, etc.)



~62 miles
of atmosphere
(not counting space)



Supposedly, there is **more** atmosphere between you and the Moon, than there is atmosphere between you and mountains miles and miles away on the horizon; yet, you can see **the Moon clearer and in sharper detail** with your naked eye than the distant blurry mountains. Maybe the Moon is *way* closer than you think...



Try ~8 miles





See Seven States? You Shouldn't Be...


With the distances stated, combined with the rate of dropoff, the only states they should be seeing is Georgia and Tennessee.

| | | |
|---------------------|--------|-------------|
| Tennessee | .5 mi | 2" drop |
| Kentucky / Virginia | 120 mi | 9,600' drop |
| South Carolina | 80 mi | 4,266' drop |
| North Carolina | 50 mi | 1,666' drop |
| Alabama | 25 mi | 417'+ drop |

Of course, if the Earth were flat, on the other hand...


Curved Flight Paths?

The raising, lowering, and disappearing effect of planes, Sun, Moon, etc. is due to perspective and vanishing points. Objects can 'rise', cross the sky over you, and disappear behind the horizon - all while staying at the same altitude. It is you, and your perspective that sees the illusion. You can look at horizontal sky trails and see that they are straight* - and certainly not conforming to a curvature.



No Horizon? No Ground?

Planes fly level with the horizon. If Earth was spherical, due to the dropoff, the physical horizon would always be dropping, and not eye level; it would be like cresting the top of a rollercoaster - the track seems to drop out from under you. Due to the dropoff rate, planes would have to have constant, slight descent (even at cruising altitude) - just to stay 'level'!



A globe's downward curving horizon would never stay eye level; yet, the horizon is always eye level...

PLANES




Flying Around the Flat World?

Easy. Head west or east, and you will go around the world, just like a vinyl record. However, it should be noted, that planes don't often straight to their destination; they usually have stops along the way for commerce reasons.



Extra-Long on Flat World?

On a flat map, you see that flights from South America to New Zealand would take a long time; the same for flights from South Africa to Australia. Usually though (not always) these trips run up the coastlines and add extra stops.




Why Take the Hard Way?

If you take a globe, and turn it upside down, you will see that the shortest distance to travel from South America to Australia or New Zealand would be to fly over Antarctica. Makes sense, right? Time is money, plus if you have trouble, you at least land on snow and rock instead of open water... But they don't (because it don't exist). Why not? They say the ETOPs regulations mean planes have to be near an emergency airport... (yeah, a lot of those in the open ocean...)

“ Although direct flights between South Africa and New Zealand would overfly Antarctica, there never have been direct flights between those countries. ”

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polar_route]




Disappearing Flight Paths?

This is harder to verify the reasons behind it, but it does appear suspicious. Planes in the southern hemisphere, going across the ocean, turn off their GPS, and turn them back on an hour before landing. Their southern hemisphere flight paths don't show how they actually crossed the ocean. Web sites, such as planefinder.net, rely on data from ADS-B plane feeds, which are dependent on ground stations.

However, their own website states: "To be introduced in the US between 2012 and 2025 NextGen will change the way in which American air traffic control works. It will move from being a ground based system using traditional surveillance radar to a ground/satellite system. This will enable route shortening, reduced traffic delays and increased fuel and time efficiency. Simply put, ADS-B uses the avionics within an aircraft to communicate with GPS satellites in orbit and transmit data..."

[<http://planefinder.net/about/ads-b-how-planefinder-works/>]



1. South Geographic Pole
2. South Magnetic Pole (2007)
3. South Geomagnetic Pole (2005)
4. South Pole of Inaccessibility

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/South_Pole]

Sightseeing Flights

These generally come from Australia or New Zealand (lower right direction). They mainly fly along the coastline, Ross Ice Shelf, Ross Island, and the South Magnetic Pole (not the geographic).

In short, both round earth and flat earth models present flight solutions and further questions...




WHAT ABOUT SATELLITES AND SPACE CRAFT?

Many devices supposedly rely on satellite communication for GPS, television, telephone, mapping, etc. How would these be possible without space?



Satellite TV & Internet?

Radio signals can go for thousands of miles, and a regularly bounced off the atmosphere (skywave, skip) for long range communication; (this process is easier to understand on a flat earth, too). The reason why your 'satellite' dish has to be pointed at an exact spot ('geostationary orbit') is so that it can accurately receive the signal from the bounce points; this is a different angle in various latitudes. Satellite internet works the same way, except you are also transmitting back on the same angle to their dish. Digital broadcasting is just taking an analog signal, digitizing it to carry more information, compressing it, and then transmitting using a digital modulation scheme.



Global Positioning Satellites?

This is accomplished through triangulation of signals, and measuring time differences; this is the exact same method GPS supposedly uses, the only difference is that it is accomplished through ground stations, not satellite. Even the police will tell you that emergency locating is done via cell tower triangulation; it is called cellular GPS, and is supposedly a different system.




Weather Satellites?

Extrapolated from the ground radar stations (Doppler, etc.). Also, high-altitude recon planes routinely give data.



Satellite Phones & Long Distance?

Even shortly after WWII, telephone calls were routed via microwave signals to go long distances between cities. Today, the technology is even further developed to bounce the signals off the firmament to remote stations and locations. FYI, satellite phones don't work everywhere; they just have a fancier antenna.



Google Earth?

Much of the imagery on Google Earth and Google Maps is provided by DigitalGlobe, and imagery used in TerraServer. Both of these sources claim to use not only satellite imagery, but also aerial imagery (high altitude planes). In short, you don't need satellites to get 'satellite' images. This doesn't even consider using recon plane technology flying at 80,000+ feet (SR71, etc.)...




More Advanced

Always, always, always keep in mind that major government always have black op technology that is 50-100 years more advanced than the civilian population ever knows about. We can only speculate and extrapolate based on what we already know technology can do. When potential limits are seen, it must be assumed that it can be taken much further than we think. **Always take the current cutting-edge technology, and extrapolate forward at least 50 years, and you will have a better idea of what is going on in the world.**



Long-Range Navigation

Loran and Decca were systems that used low frequency radio signals from fixed transmitters; the antenna would typically be on the bottom of the plane. Now, with 'GPS' (militarized system) the antenna is on top of the plane. New technology, or just a significant upgrade of existing radio technology with digital results? Hmm... Keep in mind, that regardless of what you call the system, **the data always comes to you via radio signal...**



[<http://qz.com/296941/interactive-graphic-every-active-satellite-orbiting-earth/>]

There are roughly at least 8,000 (publicly-stated) satellites supposedly up there. [research satellite density] Yet, how come all the pictures from the shuttle, ISS, etc. you never see at least one satellite somewhere in the far off background? If they are supposedly visible from down here, they should be lit up like Christmas trees up there...



Even NASA will tell you that their planet 'pictures' (such as Blue Marble) are not real photos of our planet, and that there are very few actual photos (from the Apollo missions); they are composites made up of images stitched together, then made into a 3D shape.

[<http://www.nasa.gov/centers/goddard/about/people/RSimmon.html>]



But, I can see the Space Station and Stuff!

I have no doubt that you are seeing **something** through your telescope, binoculars, etc. It is said to be the size of a football field - at an average of 225 miles up. Even from flying in a commercial plane, you can typically see about 230 miles. Whatever is up there, it is not in space orbit, nor is it weightless. It is probably a much smaller craft, equipped with typical antigravity technology, and is likely used for military purposes.

Another possibility is that it could be (sometimes) just a projection, using Project Bluebeam technology; this is surmised from the rainbow shimmer and distortions often seen of the object through telescopes and binoculars. NASA can tell you where to look (spotthestation.nasa.gov), and when it will just disappear.



Flying Objects

Always keep in mind that flying saucer technology was observed by the general public, in Washington D.C., in broad daylight - in 1952 - five years before Sputnik. Are there objects flying around in 'satellite' orbits?

Absolutely; but this can easily be faked with automated 1952 technology. Anyone with enough money today can buy RC drones that fly predetermined GPS routes. There is no reason to think the government didn't have this tech many decades ago.



Space Shuttle & Rockets

Every time the shuttle was launched, it always starting turning and rolling onto its back early on. Even at 30,000 feet up, it's already getting close to a 45 degree angle. It's orbit height was listed as (on average) 200 miles up. They knew they could not pass the firmament, which is only 62 about miles up [*tentative], and so they had to start angling away as soon as possible, given their speed, till they were out of sight. This same angling is observed in other rocket launches as well.



Hubble Telescope

Regardless of how shiny it is, it should be appear less than a lighted piece of dust at the stated distance. All data is downloaded and handled through the Goddard Space Flight Center.



SpaceShipTwo

A private company craft, with a stated service ceiling at 68 miles, which is about where many suspect the firmament is (around 62 miles up).



Artificial Satellites

There are a number of ways that aerial devices could simulate or function the same way as what most people think of in the terms of satellites; these include long-flight-duration drones, or even flying craft that utilize Tesla's theories on aether and electromagnetic antigravity, etc. (which I tend to lean toward). These could even mimic geostationary orbiting device.

Historically, the first satellite to operate in geostationary orbit was in 1964. If you look on our Timeline, you see that technology was easily available then to fake that if need be.

Whatever is up there, is viewable, but the 'satellites' only appear as pinpoints of light.

There are many ways that imaging, communication, GPS, radio, etc. satellites could be performed in functionality. **So, do not think that I am saying there are no satellites or communication platforms, I am just suggesting that they are not in orbit around a spherical planet.**




More than just cell towers...

Look at the high-voltage transformers at cell towers and ask yourself why (supposedly) **low-voltage** cell transmissions have such a high voltage feed (50,000-250,000+ watts). Radio stations don't use near that much power! Typical antenna arrays should only be using 3 watts each! 30 nodes in a circle per tower = 30 watts to cover a 2-mile area (and microwave frequencies link much, much further than most know or suspect). **These towers are used to primarily create a gigantic, high-power, wave-steered, phased radar array! More is done with radio technology than you know...**



Is your cell phone hooked up to high voltage? No! It operates on milliwatts, and goes for miles!

Many cell base stations in developing countries (where cell users are many) are run with solar panels **at only 50 watts for the whole tower!** It's because they are true cell towers, and are not militarized!



| Melting Points | |
|----------------|--------|
| Aluminum | 1220°F |
| Gold | 1947°F |
| Iron | 2800°F |
| Silicon | 2577°F |
| Titanium | 3034°F |

Satellites
(geostationary orbit ~35,000km)

Hubble Telescope



What They Say...

"Solar activity strongly influences temperature in the thermosphere. The thermosphere is typically about 200° C (360° F) hotter in the daytime than at night, and roughly 500° C (900° F) hotter when the Sun is very active than at other times. **Temperatures in the upper thermosphere can range from about 500° C (932° F) to 2,000° C (3,632° F) or higher.**" [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermosphere]

"The highly diluted gas in this layer can reach 2500°C (4530°F) during the day. Even though the temperature is so high, one would not feel warm in the thermosphere, because it is so near vacuum that there is not enough contact with the few atoms of gas to transfer much heat." Riiiggghhht...

"Thermospheric temperatures increase with altitude due to absorption of highly energetic solar radiation."

Solar radiation is what you feel, and warms you up.

An efficient thermos bottle has both a vacuum chamber and a mirror layering on the inner bottle - to reflect radiation; you can transfer heat through a vacuum.

Many people use Evacuated Tube Solar Collectors to turn solar radiation (passing through a vacuum) into hot water.

You are being deceived several ways:

- There is **no way** spacecraft could be up there (manned or unmanned) without melting from their molecules absorbing "highly energetic solar radiation" - just based on their own data. Microwave on High...
- There may be a lower hot zone near the Firmament (due to a close Sun and stars proximity), but no spacecraft can stay up there.

Either way, you are being lied to by NASA.

932-3632°F
500-2000°C

977-1877°F
525-1025°C

932-1697°F
500-925°C

[Yes, you are reading that right. The temperature rises very suddenly at that altitude.]

392°F
200°C
-148°F
-100°C

[orbits below ~160km experience very rapid orbital decay and altitude loss]

The Karman line (edge of space) [62 miles: *suspected* ~height of Firmament]

Below this line seems to be the realm of reality.

IONOSPHERE



FAKE SPACE

Hollywood has been making convincing space photography and film even before the Apollo missions!

Me →





My own experience...

One of the ministry projects I directed for three years was a pilot space-themed science video series. Part of my research into the set design, theme elements, and filming, also included studying how Hollywood studios film and fake their 'space' footage; it is not hard.

Even with my small crew and budget, we were able to create sets and footage that really had people wondering, and to make it look like the production was much larger than it was.


Never underestimate the power of greenscreen and chroma key. It is so easy to remove support harness lines, fake weightlessness, swap environments, etc. - even in real time.



Watch the behind-the-scenes segments of major space movies (such as *Gravity*), and you will see how simple it is. Then, imagine what a government-funded agency (with an essentially blank check during the space race) could do. Then, combine that with an American (and world) audience, who enthusiastically desires to win the space race - they want to believe.



Many of the weightless scenes from Tom Hanks's *Apollo 13*, were filmed directly in NASA's zero-g plane, nicknamed the Vomit Comet. Typically, the actors would have only about 45-50 seconds of weightlessness between the plane's parabolics; this resulted in many short scenes and scene cuts. If ever there was a behind-the-scenes step-by-step of how to fake Apollo missions, this shows you how.



2001: A Space Odyssey

Keep in mind that this film was produced and released **even before the first Apollo moon mission!** This movie contained all of the cinematic elements necessary for the space program. It was most likely a test-run to see people's reaction, and fine-tune any discrepancies that the public might have raised about the cinematography. Several scenes in this movie featured weightlessness, weightless objects, moon surface, etc. Other researchers have done extensive cross-comparison, and have seen a lot of similarities with the production style of Stanley Kubrick and the NASA moon cinematography.



Short-term Real, Long-term Fake - Both to Deceive

When you look at NASA's footage with a director's eye, you soon start noticing the difference between a body that is truly weightless (short-term zero-g on a parabolic plane ride) versus a body that is faking weightlessness in a gravity environment; the body language is different, and other subtle clues confirm they are faking it.



Short-term Real(ish)

In real zero-g, the hair moves about randomly, and generally follows body movement, but it also has its own inertia. Zero-g also gives the body complete freedom of movement, and the body is relaxed. However, this zero-g is very short-term. Filming in this environment is good for short clips, filler footage, and for the eye-candy publicity shots of floating water, candy, etc. When they are using this technique, **you will always hear the plane's engines in the background**, like a very loud air conditioner; it is hard to muffle.



Long-term Fake

When they have to do longer video interviews, they fake weightlessness with a harness system and permed hair (for the females) that has been hairsprayed to death. This stands out when they make sudden movements and the hair **springs back into shape**.

You do not hear the loud air conditioner-like (jet) background noise, even though the set location looks the same as the noisy shots.



When they have to bend over to pick something up (rare), you can sometimes see the subtle tugs of the suspension strings on their back clothing. Their bodies are a lot more rigid, especially if they don't have strong muscles to fake bringing up the knees. In those cases, you will see them sometimes also assist their 'weightless' legs up with one arm.



The Antichrist's Foundation Work



In order to one day convince mankind of a threat substantial enough for them to hand global power over to one person, mankind has to first be convinced that space travel between planetary bodies is possible - regardless of the truth.



Insufficient Shielding:

The Apollo craft did not have sufficient shielding to protect the astronauts from above the supposed Van Allen radiation belt, which should have fried the astronauts if both were real.



Insufficient Thrust / Conflicting Lies?

Based on published figures that the moon's gravity is stronger than realized in the 60s, the Lunar Module Ascent stage would not have been powerful enough to overcome the moon's gravity.



Human Marionettes:

In the footage, their jumping is not consistent with what the Moon's purported gravity would be; they should have been making 4' jumps easily. As you watch them walk around, you can quickly see the cable system lift their weight a split second before they start 'jumping'. Also, their walk and gait is consistent with a cable system.



Reused Sets: In many photos which claim to be miles apart on the lunar surface, you can see the same background set from slightly different angles, yet unchanged in scale or arrangement.




Budget Cuts: With advances in technology and know-how, the lunar program was wound down before too much footage was publicized, analyzed and found to be false. They could not do another launch today due to the film critics and nit-picking down by the collective mind of critics across the internet - who are already exposing the flaws and deception by NASA and world space agencies.



Pre-filmed: Since the actual lunar surface portion was the unfeasible, it appears that these sequences were pre-filmed at the Atomic Energy Commissions site in Nevada, and a Walt Disney sound studio, and then later broadcast as 'live'. The Apollo moon landing had to be picture-perfect for the consuming masses.

Quarantined?

On their 'return', the astronauts were 'quarantined' for two weeks. Actually, it was for final debriefing before they went before the public's eye. In the years afterwards, Neil Armstrong was known for his aversion to public speaking on the matter.



1955 - Warner von Braun, *Technical Director*

Prior to the Apollo missions, much media groundwork was laid to prepare the public. Von Braun worked with Disney and Disney studios to bring about greater public interest in the future of space travel. Their first broadcast, *Man in Space*, drew 42 million viewers. The 1950s saw a rapid publicity wave and promotion of mankind in space.



Food for thought:

While many of NASA's divisions and projects are noble scientific endeavors, and have numerous well-intentioned minions working for them, the key players know the script and larger purpose. There are many engineers and scientists who work on developing technology with little clue as to the end use.



FALSE PRETENSES

While the American public, and the world, is told that the Space Race is a noble endeavor, it really has a thinly-disguised occult thrust and goal.

1959-1963 Project Mercury

Mercury (Hermes / Odin) capsule on an Atlas (king of Atlantis) rocket.

1965-1966 Project Gemini

Capsule named after the king of the Underworld. The launch rocket was named after Titan, a mythological, primeval race of powerful deities - the first pantheon of Greek gods and goddesses (in reality, the fallen angels).

1961-1972 U.S. Apollo program

Named after Apollo, the sun god.

At its root, the swastika represents the sun god.

1960-1970 Warner von Braun Director at NASA

Marshall Space Flight Center.

1972-2011 Space Shuttle program

- Enterprise [the plan to bring forth new global government]
- Columbia [another name for America]
- Challenger [all challengers to the plan are destroyed]
- Discovery [the unveiling of the new empire from the ashes]
- Atlantis [the name of the new empire]
- Endeavour [the labour required by the plan's followers]

Script?

When the meaning of the shuttle names is placed in order, a phrase emerges: Columbia in a great Enterprise will Endeavor to Discover Atlantis. Challenger was ritually and symbolically destroyed, then Columbia, which is another name for America, representing a phoenix.



Whenever there is a change of command on the International Space Station - regardless of nationality - there are always the same Masonic symbols (time being up) and rituals displayed, often with subtle finger gestures to bring attention to them.
The space deception is not just an American effort - all of the final 10 nations are in on the ruse (Rev 17:12-13).



John (Jack) Parsons

One of the principal founders of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and developed solid rocket fuel and booster rockets. Widely known for his heavy involvement in black magic. Close friends with Aleister Crowley ('the wickedest man in the world') and L. Ron Hubbard (founder of Scientology).



L. Ron Hubbard

Lived at the occult commune with Parsons (Agape Lodge), and actively participated in the magick rituals with Parsons, including conjuring fallen angels. Created the cult of Scientology. The existence of extraterrestrial entities operating unidentified flying objects are an element of its beliefs. Tom Cruise, an avid and influential adherent, often stars in alien-related propaganda and conditioning films.



Walt Disney

was known for his acquaintance with Anton Levay: the author of the Satanic Bible and the leader of the Church of Satan - who also considered Walt one of their 'guides'. Many of Disney's films include Satanic themes and hidden occult symbolism, imagery, and perverted references. Walt was also a 33rd degree Mason, deeply knowledgeable of the occult.




Warner von Braun

was the Technical Director at Peenemünde (in Nazi Germany) and had firsthand knowledge of the concentration camp activity - whose slave labor was used in the rocket program. He was also held the rank of Major in the Allgemeine SS, and several times visited the Mittelwek plant, next to the Mittelbau-Dora concentration camp.



PREDICTIVE PROGRAMMING

Media is used to condition people to accept certain ideas as only in the realm of fantasy and storytelling. Hollywood also conveys parables and clues to Satan's disciples.



Google Doodle

- Google depicts a flat earth - with a dome!
- The Sun is inside of the dome.
- **On Earth Day** 2013 - hint, hint!

Steven Spielberg



- His studio logo and movie indents show a moon very close to the water, and that it's distance is an illusion.
- (left) He was awarded the British Academy Film Awards - which depicts the face of Apollo, the god of light (Lucifer).
- Many of his movies focus on aliens and false science.




Disney Pixar's *La luna* (The Moon)

- The whole concept is that the moon really is very, very close to Earth.
- The characters sail out on water, and climb up a ladder to the passing moon.
- It is covered with fallen stars.
- A gigantic star falls and sticks - at an angle strongly suggesting a pentagram.
- A character cracks it open, releasing all of the fallen stars trapped inside.
- The plot implies overtones of CERN cracking the abyss to release the fallen angels, surrounded by a backdrop of illusions.



Men in Black

- "500 years ago, Everyone. **Knew.** the Earth. was flat..."



Disney's *Chicken Little*, 2005

- Contains elements of an alien 'invasion' and a sky illusion.





Disney's *Chicken Little*, 1943

- Released a cartoon about chickens fooled into thinking the sky was falling - and it opened them up to being devoured. They blindly disregarded wisdom, and followed a planned deception.



Fox (to audience) reading his psychology book:
"If you tell them a lie, don't tell a little one - tell a big one."



- The fox uses a star off the sign of an astrologer (a nod to the occult).
- IZAN, spelled backwards, is NAZI.
- "The bigger the lie, the more inclined people will be to believe it." - Adolf Hitler



Fox reading his psychology book again:
"Undermine the faith of the masses in their leaders."



"...how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings..." - Matthew 23:37

Christians are often derisively referred to as 'chickens' by the occult, by code word, because of this verse. Many Christians are deceived into lukewarm complacency because they rejected wisdom from God's Word. They are not vigilant, awake, or aware that time is short; they are living like the foolish virgins (Matthew 25:1-13).



CBS' *Under the Dome*, 2013

- Based on a novel of the same name by Stephen King, who also wrote the season premiere episode.
- Executive producers included Steven Spielberg.
- Extraterrestrials controlling the dome, and manipulating the weather.
- Allusions to an artificial construct (alternate existence) featuring an obelisk.



The Simpsons Movie, 2007


- Contains elements of a glass sky dome.
- The inhabitants are finally about to crack the dome and get out.



The Signal, 2013

- Contains elements of alien abductions, domed existence, and living in a false construct.
- "the filmmakers and actor Brenton Thwaites described the film as a Twilight Zone-style story drawing heavily on Plato's Allegory of the Cave intended as a modern interpretation of the 1939 film *The Wizard of Oz*."

[Eubank, William (January 23, 2014). 'Signal' Sundance Q&A (Speech). 2014 Sundance Film Festival. Park City, UT. Retrieved June 12, 2014.]



The Enemy Will Play The Flat Earth Card

THE TRUTH CAN BE USED FOR WRONG REASONS



While there have been people pointing out the scientific truth of a flat earth for many years, only very recently has it started gaining traction, especially among a diverse audience in the internet. It should always raise eyebrows when a truth suddenly comes to light with seemingly little resistance. Of course, timing is everything. So, who benefits?

It has been my observation that the venue in which this truth is brought forth will largely color the outcome and conclusions that the reader draws. New Age and occult gatekeepers dismiss Biblical claims as childish, and they interject their own doctrines into their presentations. There are few Christian watchers bringing forth this information; also unfortunate is there are few Christians who have the Bible as their final authority on all matters.

I strongly suspect that the Flat Earth clues are being dropped like cookie crumbs to stir up 'truthers' of all stripes; it is partly a puzzle game. Yes, there is much truth present, but the reaction by the secular world is predictable. An idea is more readily embraced when something thinks/realizes that they have found the truth about something that they have been lied to about prior. Unfortunately, with the wrong gatekeepers, the embracing and stirring of emotions can be cleverly steered in certain directions. **Official Disclosure has already started...**

LIES THAT WILL BE ADVANCED

-  **There is no God or Creator**
-  **Mankind has been crippled by religion**
-  **The Bible is false**
-  **Aliens are real and superior**
-  **The occult mysteries are truth**
-  **You have been lied to - for generations**
-  **Your whole life is just an illusionary construct**
-  **You were warned and prepared through media**
-  **The fallen angels are gods and your creators**
-  **The Antichrist will give you truth**



Beware the Source

As you research, always look at the vessel that is being used as the Gatekeeper. Others will deliberately give good-sounding, good-looking - but false information. If they have occult or new age leanings, they will always start with wrong assumptions, and always lead to wrong conclusions. Some outlets are purpose made to confuse and frustrate the researchers. Avoid gatekeepers Steven Christopher and Mark Sargent.

"Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world. Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God. And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world." - 1 John 4:1-3



"These all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off, and were persuaded of them, and embraced them, and confessed that they were strangers and pilgrims on the earth."

- The testimony of faithful believers. Hebrews 11:13

Do you live like this world is your home?



THE GATE KEEPERS

Where do you get your information, and who shapes your world view?

 "Judge not according to the **appearance**, but judge **righteous judgment**."
- John 7:24



TOP SECRET



NASA

- Lies to the public with deliberate fabrications of space travel and space achievements.
- Fixated on pagan mythology, masonic and esoteric occult rituals and symbols.
- Government-funded
- Discredits creation and the Biblical view of our world.
- Promotes the 'science' of evolution, and an entire evolution-based cosmology.

JPL

- Largely founded by a man involved with the darkest of the occult.
- Had many friends and associates that shared his wickedness.
- Works very closely with NASA.
- Gatekeeper for much of the celestial data.
- Helps fabricate, downplay, dismiss, or falsify interpretations of publicly visible signs and events in the heavens (Ison, etc.).

CERN

- Trying to replicate the Big Bang processes, to the end of proving evolution is true.
- Down plays their knowledge of how to alter timespace.
- Governments-funded
- Suspicious links to Shiva, Apollyon, Mercury, and other pagan gods / devils.
- The world's largest and most complex machine - supposedly for particle research.

The Bible

- Written by the Creator of both the earth and heavens, as well as all life forms in each.
- The Biblical account of earth sciences stands empirical scientific tests and observation.
- Provides scientific clues to spur research in specific directions.
- Warns about 'science' falsely so called - and its impact on faith (I Timothy 6:20-21).



Because of the prevalence of backyard telescopes, private observatories, amateur and professional astronomers, etc. these agencies will publish a large volume of legitimate data on the apparent movements of the celestial objects in the firmament. This also reinforces the public's perception of their credibility on all things related to the celestial.

However, they know the limits with publicly available equipment, and they know what they can twist or put a spin on. Also, because these agencies have access to much better facilities, equipment (including black op), and budget, they act as gatekeepers, spin doctors, and damage control for much of the knowledge of the celestial and firmament that is not shared with the public.

They can use their perceived subject authority to announce 'official' interpretations and understandings of events in the heavens.



IS THIS REALLY IMPORTANT?

What are the implications of recognizing a flat, enclosed earth?



Globe Earth

- Kinda fits the Bible's numerous descriptive, spacial, and directional references; but others have to be viewed as metaphorical, or expressions.
- Makes it extremely easy to entertain the concept of evolution or atheism - the foolish notion that there is no Creator. After all, we are only one planet out of supposedly billions.
- Very easy to imagine life (aliens) on other planets - and hence, we are not a special Creation.
- We just live on earth, sailing randomly through empty space, going nowhere in particular.
- It does not matter what the Bible says; 'science' corrects the Bible; introduces doubt.
- Easier to distract the masses with dissociative media such as science fiction about life here on this planet.
- Easier to use the position of perceived knowledge and false science to manipulate the masses for political and religious ends.
- Easier to get people's mind off of their Creator, and more lost in alternatives.

VS.



Flat, Enclosed Earth

- Matches the Bible's numerous descriptive, spacial, and directional references.
- Makes it extremely hard to entertain the concept of evolution, big bang, or atheism - foolish notions that there is no Creator.
- The Book of Revelation makes more sense.
- Increased awareness that this world is not our home - we are stranger and pilgrims.
- Alien life is impossible; mankind, and our environment, was uniquely created.
- The reality of our stewardship and accountability is increased.
- Reminder that there is a world and existence beyond the here and now.
- Time, and its shortness, takes on a whole new meaning and impact.
- Realize that the world governments really are planning on deliberately handing over power to the Antichrist - just like the Bible warns about.

Keep in mind that Satan has also deluded much of the world into thinking they came from monkeys and a cosmic burp...



CERN & Time Travel

- The Bible states that the Antichrist will have permission to change time and the laws of nature during the Tribulation period (Dan 7:25). [See our *Time, CERN, and the Bible* report for more background]
- One of the biggest hurdles that people have pondered on regarding time travel is the dilemma of a moving Earth. While they know the science behind time travel is technically possible, they have always wondered how Earth and the Solar System would react, since it should require moving Earth (and all of space) back to its previous place in space.
- A flat, enclosed Earth makes much more sense when we see what CERN is doing; understanding it in context of a flat, enclosed Earth also eliminates certain speculation of their activities, and narrows it down more to time manipulation.



Yes, you now have a lot more questions than answers.




- Write down your questions that you want to investigate **at a later time**.
- Right now, **focus firstly on the** 🚩 **Red Flag majors**; research them more, and make sure your understanding on them before you confuse yourself with new, unresolved questions.
- As you answer and investigate the 🚩 **Red Flag majors**, you will find that the answers to the minors will follow.



Perhaps the greatest danger from this whole deception is that believing in a round Earth creates precedent for the lost world to discount and dismiss the Word of God, when they think that it is not accurate. A person can read the Bible and clearly see that it references a flat Earth; if that person thinks the notion is foolish, they will also dismiss the entire claims in the Bible. I have heard them argue so. Satan's deception is to convince the world that God's Word is nothing but myths and fables to be derided - while Satan laughs at them for believing they came from monkeys and that they live in a world and universe that does not exist.

If the truth sets you free, do you think they would give you the truth?

This isn't Satan's first rodeo; look what else he's convinced the world about...

-  The stars and planets control your destiny
-  Worship the lightbulb - the Sun
-  Worship the nightlight - the Moon
-  Make dummy gods and worship them
-  You evolved from (wait for it) rocks and monkeys
-  The great cosmic burp - the big bang - made everything
-  There is no Designer or Creator or Saviour
-  The Bible is just a book of old fables
-  There is no Hell

In all of the above, he has his own political, science, and religious leaders as his shepherds...
...and millions and millions of followers who believe most of the above.

 What does God plead with us?

*“Have ye not known?
have ye not heard?
hath it not been told you from the beginning?
have ye not understood from the foundations of the earth?
It is he that sitteth upon the circle of the earth,
and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers;
that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain,
and spreadeth them out as a tent to dwell in...”*

Isaiah 40:21-22

*“O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust,
avoiding profane and vain babblings,
and oppositions of science falsely so called:
which some professing **have erred concerning the faith.**”*

1 Timothy 6:20-21

“...Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God.”

Matthew 22:29

Is God's Word your Final Authority on all matters?



“...there shall be signs in the sun, and in the moon, and in the stars...” - Luke 21:25

“...God said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven ...and let them be for signs...” - Genesis 1:14

The world will lie and deceive you; but the Bible is true - judgement is coming to this world.



“...as a **snare** shall it come on all them that dwell on the face of the whole earth.

Watch ye therefore, and pray always, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man.”

- Luke 21:36



Be Accounted Worthy to Stand Before Jesus Christ

The thief on the cross recognized that he was a sinner, deserved to die, and that his final judgment was nigh. He knew Jesus Christ was the Son of God, and that He alone could save him. In his own simple way he asked Jesus to save him and to speak on his behalf before His Father. God is not impressed with our works, only His Son's work - appeal to Him. The only way we will be able to stand before Jesus Christ is if we had asked Him to forgive us of our sins, and plead on our behalf; those who reject His offer will not be spoken for.

“...Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved...” - Acts 16:31.

Salvation and escape of our soul from eternal judgment is most important; surviving the perils of the tribulation will mean nothing if you lose your soul: “For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?” - Mark 8:36



Be Accounted Worthy to Escape

Not everyone is escaping that thinks they are; it is only for those who are watching and living for Christ's return: “Blessed are those servants, whom the lord when he cometh shall find watching: verily I say unto you, that he shall gird himself, and make **them** to sit down to meat, and will come forth and serve **them**.” - Luke 12:37. If you are not looking for Christ's return, then you are obviously watching and pursuing something else. If you spend more of your life watching the world and consuming the things of the world (1 John 2:15) ...then don't get your hopes up about leaving the world (Luke 17:32).



When God judged the world the first time, the Bible records that Noah “found grace in the eyes of the LORD ...and Noah walked with God.” - Gen 6:8-9.

“And the LORD said unto Noah, Come thou and all thy house into the ark; for thee have I seen righteous before me in this generation.” - Genesis 7:1.

What would God say about me right now? Would He consider me righteous according to His standard?

Could I stand before Him? Does my life seek to serve Him first and highest above all?

Are I more concerned about what the world says about me, or what God will say about me?

- the answer will ultimately determine whether I will escape anything.



Watching for Christ's Return is not just eye-service, morbid curiosity, or even mental knowledge - it is a heart condition that affects our life and every. single. action.

“And every man that hath this hope in him purifieth himself, even as he is pure.”

1 John 3:3